

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,625	11/26/2003	Radislav Potyrailo	138961-1	6454
43248	7590 06/10/2005		EXAMINER	
CANTOR COLBURN LLP			WU, SHEAN CHIU	
55 GRIFFIN RD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/723,625	POTYRAILO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
<u></u> -		Shean C. Wu	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			·			
1)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,17-20,24-34,36 and 38-40</u> is/ar	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>10,12-16,21-23,35 and 37</u> is/are object					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ſ.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 11/26/03 &10/29/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 38-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim language "substrate" in Claims 38-39 and "two substrates" in Claim 40 are confused with the "substrate polymer" in Claim 30 because what is the difference between "a substrate polymer" in claim 30 and "substrates(s)" in Claims 38-39.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities:

See line 2, the "about 10⁻¹⁸" should be changed to -- about 10⁻¹⁸ --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 1756

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 24-26 and 30-34, 36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lucht et al. (US2002/0149003).

The reference discloses a thermochromic polymer-based temperature indicator composition, which comprises a polythiophene (0.05 to 5.0% by weight based on the total weight of the composition) and carrier medium. The thermochromic polymer-based can be incorporated via injection molding or extrusion into commercially plastics (substrate polymer) such as poly (ethylene terephthalate) (PET), polysytrene, polyethylene (HDPE and LDPE), other polyolefins, polydienes, polycarbonates, polyacrylics, polyacrylic acids, polyacrylamides, polymethacrylics, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl halides, poly (vinyl nitrile)s poly vinyl esters, polyesters, polysofones, polysulfonamides, polyamides, polyimines, polyimides, carbohydrates, and polymer mixtures and copolymers. Also, see the claims 10 and 13. The reference plastics retain a visually retrievable thermochromic response with pigment loadings of about 0.5% polymer-based pigment. The polythiophene of the reference exhibits the color change at different temperature, which can act as a thermochromic compound. The sensor system can be used as safety applications (see col. 3, lines 31-41). The reference thermochromic

Art Unit: 1756

polymer-based composition anticipates the claimed polymer, article and the method of making thereof.

If not anticipated, it would have been obvious to those skilled in the art to utilize the thermochromic material of the reference and incorporate with substrate polymer to arrive at the claimed invention because it is known to use thermochromic materials to verify the authenticity (US 6,610,351, 6,413,305 and 6.364,363).

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1 and 17-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 8, 10-16, 26-27, 36 and 37-44 of copending Application No. 10/957,518. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matters overlap each other. The reference heat response compound and optical tester act as a thermochromic compound and substrate polymer, respectively.

Art Unit: 1756

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

8. Claims 1-9, 11, 24-34 and 36 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 8, 11-15, 25-26, 28-35 and 39 of copending Application No. 10/723,810. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed subject matters overlap each other. The reference dynamic response authentication marker and forensic authentication marker act as a thermochromic compound and amplification compound, respectively.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 10, 12-16, 21-23, 35 and 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shean C. Wu whose telephone number is 571-272-1393. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1756

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1756

scw